

PERFORMANCE T		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Good	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Excellent	N/A

		Our Schoo	I		h Schools w dents Like O	
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Passed all 3 subtests	52.6	38.0	59.5	38.4	36.7	46.8
Passed 2 subtests	17.5	29.6	19.0	22.4	23.2	25.7
Passed 1 subtest	19.3	21.1	11.4	17.9	22.3	14.0
Passed no subtests	10.5	11.3	8.9	21.4	17.8	12.5

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS						
	Exit Exam Rate by Sp	Passage oring 2003	Eligibility Scholar	for LIFE ships*	Graduati	on Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	55	87.3	65	3.1	73	89.0
Gender						
Male	21	95.2	28	0.0	31	90.3
Female	34	82.4	37	5.4	42	88.1
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	54	87.0	64	3.1	72	88.9
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	1	I/S
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	2	I/S	0	N/A	7	0.0
Students without disabilities	53	86.8	65	3.1	66	98.5
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	26	88.5	65	3.1	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	54	87.0	65	3.1	73	89.0
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	54	87.0	61	1.6	66	92.4
Full-pay meals	1	I/S	4	I/S	7	57.1
n = number of students on which per	centage is calc	ulated				

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	3.1	1.7
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	3.1	1.7
Seniors who met the grade point average	27.7	29.2

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Scotts Branch High

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 491)				
Retention rate	1.0%	Down from 8.5%	17.5%	7.3%
Attendance rate	94.5%	Down from 95.5%	94.2%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	10.3%	Up from 6.5%	1.9%	5.1%
With disabilities other than speech	12.3%	Up from 8.5%	16.0%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	15.1%	Up from 13.2%	19.7%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	27.3%	Up from 3.4%	2.1%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	3.0%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.8%	Down from 1.2%	2.3%	2.7%
	4.5%	Up from 2.5%	2.9%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	r 182	Down from 211	195	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	5.5%	Down from 15.2%	17.0%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	57.5%	Down from 66.7%	61.5%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	97.0%	No change	97.0%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 30)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	40.0%	Up from 37.1%	53.3%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	90.0%	Up from 88.6%	75.0%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 83.0%	Down from 84.7%	76.2%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	92.1%	Down from 94.2%	94.5%	95.8%
	\$41,069	Up 4.6%	\$39,661	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	11.9 days	Up from 5.0 days	9.9 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	0.5	Down from 2.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	25.4 to 1	Up from 10.8 to 1	17.2 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	84.2%	Down from 88.1%	87.1%	90.1%
	\$6,613	Down 17.8%	\$9,125	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	48.0%	Up from 47.5%	54.8%	57.8%
	Good	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	58.1%	Down from 74.8%	83.2%	87.8%
SACS accreditation		N/A	yes	yes
c. 100 addition	110		,00	,55

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Scotts Branch High

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2002-03 school year was a very unique and challenging year. We underwent an administrative change during the middle of the school year. The students responded well emotionally as well as academically. Our students continue to excel in all areas of our community and school. Some of our notable achievements are:

Our seniors were recipients of over \$1,100,000 in scholarships. More than 30 percent of our graduates were honor graduates. 94% of our graduating seniors passed the Exit Exam. Several athletic teams participated in the state playoffs. The eighth grade class produced three Junior Scholars. Our preliminary Exit Exam scores show marked improvement.

We are continuing to work on student achievement and appear to be making good progress toward that end. We have identified areas that we need to focus on, and we are developing strategies to attack those areas. Our teacher specialists and curriculum specialist will play a vital role in completion of our initiatives.

Scott's Branch High School is continuing to progress in the area of technology. We are implementing a grant that affords us the opportunity to participate in the global classroom. We are also able to offer our students new computer-assisted instruction and practice, as well as research in many areas.

The next area we are focusing on is our parent involvement. We have planned several initiatives to help increase our parent participation in their child's education. We look forward to working with our parents and students to make Clarendon School District One a stronger and better community.

Kenneth E. Mance Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS					
	Teachers	Students	Parents		
Number of surveys returned	34	42	43		
Percent satisfied with learning environment	58.1%	70.7%	69.0%		
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	88.2%	77.5%	65.1%		
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	40.6%	85.0%	67.4%		

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.